0

From: LeGrant, Matt (DCRA) <matthew.legrant@dc.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 5:12 PM

To: Alexandra Wilson <awilson@sullivanbarros.com> **Cc:** Vollin, David (DCRA) <david.vollin@dc.gov>

Subject: Question about theoretical lots-relatively urgent-follow up on PDRM from April 2340 Ainger Place

Alex,

I agree that the proposed driveway, as shown on Sheet A200 of the attached plans, is not subject to 11DCMR C-305.3(b) because it is a driveway and not a newly proposed street for egress.

Matthew Le Grant

Zoning Administrator
Office of the Zoning Administrator
Dept of Consumer and Regulatory Affairs
1100 4th St SW - Washington, DC 20024

www.dcra.dc.gov

Phone: Desk 202 442-4652 - Mobile 202-497-1742

Board of Zoning Adjustment District of Columbia CASE NO.20710 EXHIBIT NO.23 From: Alexandra Wilson awilson@sullivanbarros.com

Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2022 2:50 PM

To: LeGrant, Matt (DCRA) < <u>matthew.legrant@dc.gov</u>> **Cc:** Vollin, David (DCRA) < <u>david.vollin@dc.gov</u>>

Subject: FW: Question about theoretical lots-relatively urgent-follow up on PDRM from April 2340 Ainger Place

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the DC Government. Do not click on links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know that the content is safe. If you believe that this email is suspicious, please forward to phishing@dc.gov for additional analysis by OCTO Security Operations Center (SOC).

Hi Matt- following up on this again, could you confirm that the proposed driveway in these plans is NOT subject to C-305.3(b) because it is simply a driveway and not a newly proposed street for egress: "Each means of vehicular ingress and egress to any <u>principal building</u> shall be at least twenty-four feet (24 ft.) in width, <u>exclusive of driveways;</u>"

Thanks, Alex

Alexandra Wilson Senior Associate Attorney 202-503-1704

Sullivan & Barros, LLP 1155 15th Street, NW Suite 1003 Washington, DC 20005

<u>Confidentiality Notice</u>: The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone.

From: Alexandra Wilson

Sent: Thursday, May 12, 2022 12:16 PM

Subject: Question about theoretical lots-relatively urgent-follow up on PDRM from April 2340 Ainger Place

Mr. LeGrant,

One of the requirements for a theoretical lot subdivision is: "Each means of vehicular ingress and egress to any principal building shall be at least twenty-four feet (24 ft.) in width, exclusive of driveways;"

Would you agree that the proposed driveway/parking area is not subject to this requirement as it is not a "means of vehicular ingress and egress to a principal building" as it only leads to a parking lot as there are sidewalks between the driveway and building A?

I ask because we are seeking variance relief from this provision but I'm not sure we even need it. But we need to hear from you before we can remove it.

5/18/22, 12:15 PM Thanks, Alex

> Alexandra Wilson Senior Associate Attorney 202-503-1704

Sullivan & Barros, LLP 1155 15th Street, NW Suite 1003 Washington, DC 20005

<u>Confidentiality Notice</u>: The information in this e-mail (including attachments, if any) is considered confidential and is intended only for the recipient(s) listed above. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this e-mail is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you have received this email in error, please notify me immediately by reply email, delete this email, and do not disclose its contents to anyone.

DCRA is ready for the future. To learn more about our ambitious plans to further enhance the services we provide District residents and businesses, check out our <u>5-Year Strategic Plan.</u>